*JDE E1 HCM SIG Conference Call:*

Please join our monthly conference call to learn more about topics of interest, current issues and workarounds/resolutions, and participate in our Open Forum.

**President** – Sherri Harley @ OUC

**Vice-President** – Ariel Ross @ Colas

**Communications Coordinator** – Rachel Springob @ City of Ft. Collins

**Bug/Enhancement Coordinator** – Denise Stewart @ Chocktaw Nation

**Vendor Liaison/Demo Coordinator** – Kristin Thill-Mckenzie @ Port of Portland



**BUGS with ESU Available Status** ![C:\Users\harls131\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary Internet Files\Content.IE5\FZ0TRSBU\wink-smiley[1].jpg]()

1. **Pat Short @ Independent:** SR #3-11255015138:  Dependent SSN in the P054101W (DEPTAX) does not validate – **JM19079 [9.1]**
2. **Pat Short @ Independent:** 9.1 Self Service and a set number of days to make changes to an life event, Employees could access the event but it set everything to zero. **BUG 21156975 Update 09/16/2015** I had to re-open the call on this ESU.  We applied it and Oracle only made the changes/fixes to the P05410 program and not the P05410P program.  I will let you know when the ESU has been updated.

JM18550 fixed the P05410.

Example:  Our P08500 is set to not allow a New Hire to enroll beyond 13 days from the Participation Date (PADT) field.  This works when we use the P05410|ZJDE0001 program but does not work when we use the P05410P|ZJDE0001.  **New Bug 21680789 Status 11**  JM18909 – This fixed the P05410P.

1. **Cindy Brekke** FYI - SR3 – 10859021691 for 9.0 tools 8.98 ... status = development; Verified by duplication in Oracle environments.
When entering a Future Data update for a change to PHRT, if the R06394 is run in Full update mode to update the PHRT and SAL, the SAL update in the F08042 contains an incorrect value in the HSTN field. The incorrect value has 2 digits added to it. The incorrect value only occurs in the F08042 table and only in 8.98 tools releases.   **03/09/2016 Bug 21227636 Status 74 ESU JL\_23615**

**Status 87 – Fix Verified/Merge Required (ALMOST READY)**

**BUG TRACKING(ESU Not Available Yet)**

Status 10 – Description Phase

Status 11 – Code/Hardware Bug (Response/Resolution)

Status 16 – Bug Screening/Triage

Status 21 – Cost Required, To Development

Status 25 – Open, Awaiting Code/Hardware review

Status 26 Open/Failed Verification

Status 80 - Development to QA/Fix Delivered Internal

Status 82 - Q/A to Enhancement Evaluation

Status 91 – Closed, Could Not Reproduce

Status 92 – Closed, Not a Bug

1. **Linda Dresbach @ San Jose Water**: Just wanted to provide this if it is of interest to others:

R078401 Local Income tax Report Issue: .  R078401 company and grand total lines are incorrect whenever there is any ‘Excess’ wages for any employee.  The footer was

 taking the excess of the last employee reported in coming up with the taxable wage

 total instead of the summary excess amount. It has been wrong for a while but we finally got some time to document and report the error.  This is on 9.0

**03/15/2016 Bug 20995248 Status 11**  -- Doc ID 2006518.1 / Do not know if this is a 9.1 issue

1. **Nancy @ Logis** – W2 rebuild problem **03/15/2016 Bug 20656220 status 21**
2. **Brent @ City of Rochester:** P053030 W4 form missing merge elements. The W4FORM.HTM template puts the first and last name in the "first name and middle initial" field, nothing in the "last name" field, and does not merge employee marital status into the check box fields. **03/15/2016 Bug 21312885 Status 11**
3. **Katie @ Brasfield & Gorrie: :** When entering the prevailing wage info in the union rate tables, the check box is not staying checked: **03/15/2016 Bug 22065119 Status 92 Closed, Not a Bug – Still Not a Bug -?**
4. **Katie @ Brasfield & Gorrie:** : Employee is paid correctly but the burden record is not calculated correctly on the employee. The pay differential is not being used. So the 401K is not being calculated properly **03/15/2016 Bug 22026972 92 - Closed, Not a Bug**: **Katie has let them know this is an issue**
5. **Nancy @ Logis** SR 3-11685461771 : 11/10/15 Open Enrollment not calculating DBA using P08505 As of Age. Build Open Enrollment Files correctly calculate the Employee Cost using the employee age as of 01/01/16 as seen from P085520W.  During Open Enrollment, the Employee Cost As of Current FAGE is shown. Duplicated **03/15/2016** **Bug 22200158 Status 11**
6. N**ancy @ Logis** 3-11683375741 : 11/10/15 Employee (Dependent) error on Required Plans during Open Enrollment Employee 2 is a dependent of Employee 1 and has Medical coverage through Employee 1 Family election. Employee 2 correctly receives "You are listed as a dependent of another employee for this category” however the correct Opt Out/Waive functionality is not defaulting and an error is given that an election is required. Employee 2 cannot proceed with Open Enrollment.
7. **Ariel @ Colas**  9.1 tools 9.1.4.4 ... status = development; Verified by duplication in Oracle environments.
When entering a Future Data update for a change to PHRT, if the R06394 is run in Full update mode to update the PHRT and SAL, the SAL update in the F08042 contains an incorrect value in the HSTN field. The incorrect value has 2 digits added to it. The incorrect value only occurs in the F08042 table and only in 9.1.4.4 tools release. Bug 21313169 Status 11 03/15/2016
8. **Paul Auger @ Jeffco** : In the process trying to customize the R08119B to include check route code. Will be entering an enhancement request

**Michael @ Douglas County :** Hi Sherri- Thanks for connecting with me. I was on the sig call today and I heard a question from Paul Auger about sorting the 1095c forms by check route code.  I think I have the answer if I understood correctly. We had the same request at Douglas County Government and we were able to do the following to accomplish:

1. change the sequence in the R08119 build program by MAIL (ck rout code)
2. change the sequence in the R08119b print form program by UKID (unique key id)
3. run R08119 with processing options set to run R08120 build too

\*when the r08119 runs it will build by check route code and assign a unique id to the F08119 and F08120 tables. Then when you print the 1095c forms by unique id it will print by check route code so to speak.

Hope this helps!

1. **Ariel @ Colas:** Both reports have the same issue as yesterday. Attached are examples of the reports from today showing same issues attached to yesterday's ticket.

PR Register: It is not pulling in specific to Payroll ID. It does not show employee name or number. It does not provide YTD totals. All employees show Gross to Net Error since the detail and totals do not equal.

Positive Pay BOA: The name of the report and path is not provided. The detail of employee name and total amount does not show. No file is created.

Frank will coordinate with you on getting SR created and logs from Oracle. I confirmed this is not occurring in DV or PY. Companies are continuing to process payroll and we are helping them validate through other reports and applications. Live checks for BOA will need to manually be verified.

**Shelly @ Oracle:** This has something to do with the specs on your version are missing. Has your Oracle Cloud support checked into this. Review the logs (make sure they are the correct logs) and look for the cause

1. **David @ CCA:** Questions on enhancements – gave them a 12 year old request – how are we handling enhancements. FY vs Calendar year for DBA – done for accruals not benefits

**Notes from UDO/Tools 9.2 Demo**

Hi Sherri,

        Here are the issues I discussed in the HCM Sig meeting yesterday (plus one bonus issue, Converted E1 Pages didn’t work).

UDOs:  Oracle has created one way to setup security and deal with promotion/sharing of the following objects, now called User Defined Objects

* E1 Pages
* Café 1
* Custom Queries
* Custom Grids
* One View
* One View Watchlists

The Port uses E1 Pages, Custom Grids, and Custom Queries.  There is some security and access setup that is required to be able to use UDOs in 9.2, which is referenced in the document immediately below.

Security setup to use UDOs in 9.2: Oracle Document 2072336.1

* New Web OMW for promotion of UDOs
* New Row exit in the Security Workbench (P00950) to grant access to UDOs
* You can now share UDOs to an individual JDE account or security role and decisions need to be made on who can create UDOs, who can share their UDOs, and who approves a request to share a UDO

In addition to needing to complete this setup, we ran into several issues with E1 Pages and Custom Grids.

**E1 Page**

* Setup Document (after you complete setup in Document 2072336.1) – There is a section for E1 Pages in 9.2 in Oracle document 1674258.2
* We received errors opening the new programs used to create and allow access to UDOs and found the following 3 UDC tables didn’t match Oracle’s.  Once we updated the tables, the errors were resolved.  I can send the corrected UDC tables to you if you wish, but I was warned that they were taken out of Oracle’s testing environment and they may have been modified by oracle support staff from their originally intended configuration.
* H92/OT
* H95/UF
* H95/UO
* The converted E1 Pages from 9.1 didn’t work
* I created the E1 Page zip files using Send To  Compressed Folder option from Windows Explorer, that version of zip file is not supported



* I had to unzip each E1 Page and use a third-party application 7Zip to create zip files that JDE 9.2 can understand

**Custom Grid**

It appears that most of our user-created custom grids didn’t survive the upgrade from Tools 9.1.5.3 to Tools 9.2.0.2.  This isn’t a new experience for us when we upgrade tools versions.  JDE uses a new table to store all UDOs and the table conversion program doesn’t appear to copy them all correctly into the new table.  If you can find the user’s Custom Grid in the table, it will be visible to them.  I worked with Oracle to identify the issue and they created Bug 22860896 for the problem.

Regards,

**Matt Stronczek**

1.

**NEW ISSUES E-Mailed**

1. **Pat Short Independent Consultant** - The biggest ESS issue I have now is: **3-11806940961** R085524 – Not using the correct ending dates when employee switches plans for a Life Qualifying Event.  On our Life Qualifying Events the Plan End dates are using the Event Date instead of using the Eligibility Rules and ending the plans the day before new plan start date.  So we have lapses in service and or overlapping enrollments.  Oracle is working on the fix now.  I had to explain to Oracle and the developers that we want it to work as the P08334 (which it should).  I opened the call December 2 and they finally started working on the bug at the beginning of February. **Bug 22387883 Status 11 03/15/2016**
2. **Sherri @ OUC –** Issue on ACA SR **3-12291217771**: P08119/W08119D ACA Information Returns Workbench - Form 1095-C Part II and Part III. If you need to delete 1 dependent (and you have a delete button on the form) you click on Delete - it says delete the selected record. I would assume it would only delete that 1 dependent - HOWEVER, it is deleting the entire record causing us to have to rekey the entire record. I would think - if the message says delete the selected record that's what it should do. If I want to delete the ENTIRE record then the delete should be on the P08119/W08119A. **Bug 22867630** 03/09/2016 Status 15 Enhancement Request. Due to the location of the grid they cannot delete the bottom of the grid.
3. **Sherri @ OUC –** 1094-C any idea when the ESU/s will be delivered? Yes, 1094-C processing functionality will be delivered in an ESU in March. I do not have a specific date however we will send out an announcement in the Community. – Fully functioning 1094-C will be delivered in part 2 and corrections to electronic filings

# Open Forum

**Leg/Reg Items Under Development Review @ Oracle:**

1. Jeff @ Semco – SUI – Michigan. Have to file # of employees paid in payroll containing the 12th of the month. Also need subtotals by company on the export file. Nancy had to customize for this and also EEs with multiple tax history types (one record required, was dropping the second). Will determine what pay period includes the 12th and look at timecard history. Will count EE as long as time is not excludable from unemployment insurance. \*\*Logic employed does not cover all use cases (i.e., multiple pay cycle codes). 6/14 –If you would like to provide input, please e-mail Alicia directly Alicia.gambrell@oracle.com. Alicia met with Development, talked about how to code for this. Feedback from development is under review by Alicia.

If you would like items added to our next agenda, please contact sharley@ouc.com!

Contact Sherri or Ariel if you would like to demo or have an idea for a demo